

A NOTE ON LINEABILITY

G. BOTELHO, D. DINIZ, D. PELLEGRINO AND E. TEIXEIRA

ABSTRACT. In this note we answer a question concerning lineability of the set of non-absolutely summing operators.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

A subset A of an infinite-dimensional vector space V is μ -lineable if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains an infinite-dimensional subspace of dimension μ . Let $\aleph_0$ be the countable cardinality and $\aleph_1$ be the cardinality of $\mathbb{R}$. From now on E and F denote Banach spaces, the space of absolutely p -summing linear operators from E to F will be denoted by $\Pi_p(E; F)$, the space of bounded linear operators from E to F will be represented by $\mathcal{L}(E; F)$ and the space of compact operators from E to F is represented by $\mathcal{K}(E; F)$. For details on the theory of absolutely summing operators we refer to [3].

In recent papers [1, 5] it was shown that under certain circumstances $\mathcal{L}(E; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F)$ is $\aleph_0$ -lineable. In [1] there is a question from the anonymous referee, asking about the possibility of proving that the set is μ -lineable, for $\mu > \aleph_0$. Our next result shows that an adaptation of the proof of [1] answers this question in the positive:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p \geq 1$ and E be superreflexive. If E contains a complemented infinite-dimensional subspace with unconditional basis or F contains an infinite unconditional basic sequence then $\mathcal{K}(E; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F)$ (hence $\mathcal{L}(E; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F)$) is $\aleph_1$ -lineable.*

Proof. Assume that E contains a complemented infinite-dimensional subspace E_0 with unconditional basis $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. First consider

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbb{N} = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots$$

a decomposition of $\mathbb{N}$ into infinitely many infinite pairwise disjoint subsets $(A_j)_{j=1}^\infty$. Since $\{e_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an unconditional basis, it is well known that $\{e_n; n \in A_j\}$ is an unconditional basic sequence for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us denote by E_j the closed span of $\{e_n; n \in A_j\}$. As a subspace of a superreflexive space, E_j is superreflexive as well, so from [2, Theorem] it follows that for each j there is an operator

$$u_j: E_j \longrightarrow F$$

belonging to $\mathcal{K}(E_j; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E_j; F)$. From the proof of [1] we know that each projection $P_i: E_0 \longrightarrow E_i$ is continuous and has norm $\leq \varrho$ (the constant of the unconditional

basis of E_0). This also implies that each E_i is a complemented subspace of E_0 . If $\pi_0: E \rightarrow E_0$ denotes the projection onto E_0 , for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we can define the operator

$$\tilde{u}_j: E \rightarrow F, \quad \tilde{u}_j := u_j \circ P_j \circ \pi_0.$$

Since $(P_j \circ \pi_0)(x) = x$ for every $x \in E_j$, it is plain that $\tilde{u}_j$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(E; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F)$. There is no loss of generality in supposing $\|\tilde{u}_j\| = 1$ for every j . Now, consider the map

$$T: \ell_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(E; F)$$

$$T((a_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \tilde{u}_j$$

Since the supports of the $\tilde{u}_n$ are disjoint it is clear that T is an injective linear operator, such that

$$T(\ell_1) \subset (\mathcal{K}(E; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F)) \cup \{0\}.$$

And therefore $(\mathcal{K}(E; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F)) \cup \{0\}$ contains a vector space with the same dimension of ℓ_1 (and it is well-known that $\dim \ell_1 = \aleph_1$).

Now, suppose that F contains a subspace G with unconditional basis $\{e_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ with unconditional basis constant ϱ . Still considering the subsets (A_n) of $\mathbb{N}$ as above, define F_j as the closed span of $\{e_n; n \in A_j\}$ and let $P_j: G \rightarrow F_j$ be the corresponding projections. Proceeding as above we conclude that $\|P_j\| \leq \varrho$. From [2, Theorem] we know that for each j there is an operator

$$u_j: E \rightarrow F_j$$

belonging to $\mathcal{K}(E; F_j) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F_j)$. Now by $\tilde{u}_j$ we mean the composition of u_j with the inclusion from F_j to F . Once again consider the map

$$T: \ell_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(E; F)$$

$$T((a_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j \tilde{u}_j.$$

Since the projections $P_i: G \rightarrow F_i$ are continuous and have norm $\leq \varrho$, it follows that

$$(1.2) \quad \|T((a_n)_{n=1}^\infty)(x)\| \geq \varrho^{-1} \|\alpha_j \tilde{u}_j(x)\|$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that T is a linear and injective. It also follows from (1.2) that

$$T(\ell_1) \subset (\mathcal{K}(E; F) \setminus \Pi_p(E; F)) \cup \{0\}.$$

□

Remark 1.2. *It is not difficult to show that*

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(\ell_p; \ell_q) = \aleph_1$$

so, for example, for $E = \ell_p$ ($p > 1$) and $F = \ell_q$ the result of the previous theorem is optimal, i.e., we cannot improve the result to μ -lineable for $\mu > \aleph_1$.

2. LINEABILITY OF THE SET OF NORM ATTAINING-OPERATORS

Next we show that the same idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be adapted to extend a result from [4] concerning norm-attaining operators.

In what follows $\mathcal{NA}^{x_0}(E; F)$ denotes the set of continuous linear operators from E to F that attain their norms at x_0 .

Proposition 2.1. *Let E and F be Banach spaces so that E contains an isometric copy of ℓ_q for some $1 \leq q < \infty$, and let $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}_E$. Then $\mathcal{NA}^{x_0}(E; F)$ is $\aleph_1$ -lineable in $\mathcal{L}(E; F)$.*

Proof. The beginning of the proof follows the lines of the similar result from [4]. It suffices to prove for $F = \ell_q$. We can write the set of positive integers $\mathbb{N}$ as

$$\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k,$$

where each

$$(2.1) \quad A_k := \{a_1^{(k)} < a_2^{(k)} < \dots\}$$

has the same cardinality as $\mathbb{N}$ and the sets A_k are pairwise disjoint. For each positive integer k , we define

$$\ell_q^{(k)} := \{x \in \ell_q : x_j = 0 \text{ if } j \notin A_k\}.$$

For each k we can find operators $u^{(k)}$ on $\mathcal{NA}^{x_0}(E; \ell_q^{(k)})$. By composing these operators with the inclusion of $\ell_q^{(k)}$ into ℓ_q we get a vector (and we maintain the same notation for the sake of simplicity) on $\mathcal{NA}^{x_0}(E; \ell_q)$. Consider the map

$$T : \ell_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{NA}^{x_0}(E; \ell_q)$$

$$T((a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j u^{(j)}.$$

It is clear that T is linear and injective. We also have that (due the disjoint supports of the $u^{(j)}$)

$$T(\ell_1) \subset \mathcal{NA}^{x_0}(E; \ell_q).$$

Since T is injective, it follows that $T(\ell_1)$ is an infinite-dimensional space and its basis has the same cardinality of the basis of ℓ_1 . Recall that $\dim(\ell_1) = \aleph_1$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Botelho, D. Diniz and D. Pellegrino, Lineability of the set of bounded linear non-absolutely summing operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **357** (2009), 171-175.
- [2] W.J. Davis and W.B. Johnson, Compact non-nuclear operators, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 81-85.
- [3] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 43, 1995.
- [4] D. Pellegrino and E. Teixeira, Norm optimization problem for linear operators in classical Banach spaces, to appear in Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.

- [5] D. Puglisi, J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Bounded linear non-absolutely summing operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338** (2008), 292-298.

(Geraldo Botelho) FACULDADE DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE UBERLÂNDIA, 38.400-902 - UBERLÂNDIA, BRAZIL, E-MAIL: BOTELHO@UFU.BR., [DIOGO DINIZ] UAME-UFCG, CAIXA POSTAL 10044, CEP 58109-970, CAMPINA GRANDE, PB, BRAZIL, [DANIEL PELLEGRINO] DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, 58.051-900 - JOÃO PESSOA, BRAZIL, E-MAIL: DMPELLEGRINO@GMAIL.COM., [EDUARDO TEIXEIRA] UNIVERISDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ, DEPTO DE MATEMÁTICA, AV. HUMBERTO MONTE, S/N, FORTALEZA-CE, BRAZIL. CEP 60.455-760.